Case 3265

Lathrobium geminum Kraatz, 1857 (Insecta, Coleoptera): proposed precedence over L. volgense Hochhuth, 1851 and L. boreale Hochhuth, 1851; L. volgense: proposed precedence over L. boreale

Lee H. Herman

American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, N.Y. 10024-5192, U.S.A. (e-mail: herman@amnh.org)

Abstract. This application is brought to the Commission under Articles 23.9.3 and 81.2.3 of the Code. Four names (*Lathrobium bicolor* Heer, 1839, *L. boreale* Hochhuth, 1851, *L. volgense* Hochhuth, 1851 and *L. geminum* Kraatz, 1857) have been applied validly or invalidly to a species of rove beetle (family STAPHYLINIDAE). *Lathrobium bicolor* Heer, 1839 is an invalid name as it is a junior primary homonym of *L. bicolor* Gravenhorst, 1802. *Lathrobium volgense* is an invalid name because (as a result of a First Reviser's action) it is a junior synonym of *L. boreale*, but it has had more usage than *L. boreale*. To stabilize the name of this rove beetle, it is proposed that the widely used name *L. geminum* be given precedence over the less well used, but senior, names *L. boreale* and *L. volgense*, whenever it and either of the senior names are considered to be synonyms. It is also proposed that *L. volgense* be given precedence over the less well used, but senior, name *L. boreale*, whenever the two are considered to be synonyms.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Coleoptera; STAPHYLINIDAE; *Lathrobium boreale*; *Lathrobium volgense*; *Lathrobium geminum*; rove beetles.

- 1. Three names (Lathrobium bicolor Heer, 1839 (p. 240), L. boreale Hochhuth, 1851 (p. 41), L. volgense Hochhuth, 1851 (p. 42)) have been applied at various times (either validly or invalidly) to the species of rove beetle (family STAPHYLINIDAE) that was named Lathrobium geminum by Kraatz in 1857 (p. 673). The oldest name, L. bicolor Heer, 1839, is invalid because it is a junior primary homonym of L. bicolor Gravenhorst, 1802 (p. 179; currently Homaeotarsus bicolor). Since 1868 L. bicolor Heer has been cited as a synonym of Lathrobium boreale Hochhuth, 1851 (see Gemminger & Harold, 1868, p. 609) or L. geminum Kraatz, 1857 (see Fauvel, 1873, p. 343).
- 2. Lathrobium boreale Hochhuth, 1851 was considered to be valid until 1998. However confusion over the use of Lathrobium boreale Thomson, 1860 (p. 198) and L. boreale Hochhuth, 1851 caused Assing & Zerche (1998, p. 136) to cite, for the first time, the Hochhuth name as a synonym of L. volgense Hochhuth when it was the Thomson name that had been cited as a synonym of L. volgense (see Burakowski et al., 1979, p. 241; Boháč, 1986, p. 380). Probably as a result of this misunderstanding only 4 authors in 7 works since 1992 have cited L. boreale Hochhuth as valid (the Commission Secretariat holds these usage references).

- 3. Most authors (see Kraatz. 1867, p. 414; Gemminger & Harold, 1868, p. 609; Gusarov, 1992, p. 784) cited *L. volgense* Hochhuth, 1851 as a variety or synonym of *L. boreale* Hochhuth, 1851 following the action of the First Reviser (Fauvel, 1865, p. 16; also see Article 24.2). or as a synonym of *L. geminum* Kraatz (see for example Ganglbauer, 1895, p. 511; Pope, 1977, p. 27; the Commission Secretariat holds a list of other usage references). Recently (see Burakowski et al., 1979, p. 241), *L. volgense* was resurrected to replace *L. geminum*, and in the last 50 years it has been cited as a valid name in 28 works by 23 authors (the Commission Secretariat holds these usage references).
- 4. Lathrobium geminum Kraatz has a long history of use as a valid name, but has been invalidly cited as a senior synonym of L. boreale Hochhuth or L. volgense (the Commission Secretariat holds these usage references). In the last 50 years, L. geminum has been cited as the valid name in at least 37 works by 33 authors (the Commission Secretariat holds these usage references).
- 5. As has been shown in paras. 1–4 above, the nomenclature of this rove beetle species is confusing and unstable because four names have been applied to the species in the last 50 years. Twenty-five of the references that cite *L. volgense* as the valid name were published in the last 16 years: prior to 1953 the name was unused. *Lathrobium boreale* Hochhuth, the name that actually has validity for this species under the provisions of the Code (see Article 24.2). has been used only a few times in the last 50 years. *Lathrobium geminum*, on the other hand, has been used by many authors in many works since 1857 and was used as recently as 2001 by Tamutis & Zolubas (p. 67). To conserve the widespread usage of *L. geminum*, this case is submitted to the Commission under Articles 23.9.3 and 81.2.3 to give it precedence over its supposed synonyms. Full suppression of names is not proposed in case future work reveals that more than one rove beetle species is involved in what we currently understand to be a single species.
- 6. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
 - (1) to use its plenary power:
 - (a) to give the name *geminum* Kraatz. 1857, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium geminum*, precedence over the names *boreale* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium boreale*, and *volgense* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium volgense*, whenever it and either of the others are considered to be synonyms;
 - (b) to give the name *volgense* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium volgense*, precedence over the name *boreale* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium boreale*, whenever the two are considered to be synonyms:
 - (2) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) genimm Kraatz, 1857, as published in the binomen Lathrobium genimm, with the endorsement that it is to be given precedence over the names boreale Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen Lathrobium boreale, and volgense Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen Lathrobium volgense, whenever it and either of the others are considered to be synonyms:

- (b) *volgense* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium volgense*, with the endorsement that it is to be given precedence over the name *boreale* Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium boreale*, whenever the two are considered to be synonyms and that it is not to be given priority over *geminum* Kraatz, 1857, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium geminum*, whenever the two are considered to be synonyms;
- (c) boreale Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen Lathrobium boreale, with the endorsement that it is not to be given priority over the names geminum Kraatz, 1857, as published in the binomen Lathrobium geminum and volgense Hochhuth, 1851, as published in the binomen Lathrobium volgense, whenever it and either of the others are considered to be synonyms;
- (3) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name *bicolor* Heer, 1839, as published in the binomen *Lathrobium bicolor* (a junior homonym of *Lathrobium bicolor* Gravenhorst, 1802).

Acknowledgements

I thank V. Assing (Hannover, Germany), M. Schülke (Berlin, Germany), and A. Smetana (Ottawa, Ontario) for improvements that resulted from their comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

References

- Assing, V. & Zerche, L. 1998. 68. Gattung: Lathrobium Gravenhorst. Pp. 135–136 in Lucht, W. & Klausnitzer, B. (Eds.), Die Käfer Mitteleuropas. 398 pp. Goecke & Evers, Krefeld.
- Boháč, J. 1986. Review of the subfamily Paederinae (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) of Czechoslovakia. Part III. Acta Entomologica Bohemoslovaca, 83: 365-398.
- Burakowski, B., Mroczkowski, M. & Stefańska, J. 1979. Katalog fauny Polski [Catalogus faunae Poloniae]. Chrząszcze [Coleoptera]. Kusakowate [Staphylinidae]. Część 1. pt. 30. 310 pp. Polska Akademia Nauk. Instytut Zoologii, Warszawa.
- Fauvel, A. 1865. Notes synonymiques. Notices Entomologiques, 3: 15-18.
- Fauvel, A. 1873. Faune Gallo-Rhénane ou species des insectes qui habitent la France, la Belgique, la Hollande, le Luxembourg, la prusse Rhénane, la Nassau et la Valais avec tableaux synoptiques et planches gravées. 3, liv. 4. Pp. 215–390. Le Blanc-Hardel, Caen.
- Ganglbauer, L. 1895. Die Käfer von Mitteleuropa. Die Käfer der österreichisch-ungarischen Monarchie, Deutschlands, der Schweiz, sowie des französischen und italienischen Alpengebietes. 2. Familienreihe Staphylinoidea. Theil I. Staphylinidae, Pselaphidae. 881 pp. Gerold, Wien.
- Gemminger, M. & von Harold, E. 1868. Catalogus Coleopterorum hucusque descriptorum synonymicus et systematicus, vol. 2. Pp. 425–752. Gummi, Monachii.
- Gravenhorst, J.L.C. 1802. Coleoptera Microptera Brunsvicensia nec non exoticorum quotquot exstant in collectionibus entomologorum Brunsvicensium in genera familias et species distribuit. lxvi, 206 pp. Carolus Reichard, Brunsuigae.
- Gusarov, V. 1992. Novye i maloizvestnye Palearkticheskie stafilinidy (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae). Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie, 71(4): 775–788.
- Heer, O. 1839. Fauna Coleopterorum Helvetica, vol. 1, pt. 2. Pp. 145–360. Orelii, Fuesslini et Sociorum, Turici.
- Hochhuth, J.H. 1851. Beitræge zur næheren Kenntniss der Staphylinen Russlands. Enthaltend Beschreibung neuer Genera und Arten, nebst Erläuterungen noch nicht hinlänglich bekannter Staphylinen des russischen Reichs. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, 24(2)(3): 3-58.

Kraatz, G. 1857. Naturgeschichte der Insecten Deutschlands. Abt. 1. Coleoptera. Zweiter Band. Lief. 3–4, pp. 377–768. Lief. 5–6, pp. 769–1080. Nicolai, Berlin.

Kraatz, G. 1867. Synonymische Bemerkungen. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift, 10(1866): 413–418.

Pope, R.D. 1977. In Kloet & Hincks, A check list of British Insects, Ed. 2 (completely revised), pt. 3. Coleoptera and Strepsiptera. In: Handbooks for the identification of British Insects. 11(3): xiv, 105. Royal Entomological Society of London, London.

Tamutis, V. & Zolubas, R. 2001. Non-target beetles trapped in *Ips typographus* L. pheromone

traps. Baltic Journal of Coleopterology, 1: 65-70.

Thomson, C.G. 1860. Skandinaviens Coleoptera, synoptiskt bearbetade, vol. 2. 304 pp. Berlingska Boktryckeriet, Lund.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 60: 93.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, I.C.Z.N., c/o The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).